UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

IN RE: Case No. 10-23256 Case No. 10-23257 SYNERGISTIC ENTERPRISES, INC PLAN(s) OF REORGANIZATION SUSTAINABLE ENTERPRISES, LLC,

AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

COMBINED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Synergistic Enterprises Inc. and Sustainable Enterprises LLC, the debtors-inpossession (hereafter "Debtor" or "SEI"), files this Plan of Reorganization (hereafter "Plan") and Disclosure Statement as a combined document under the provisions of Section 1125(f) of the Bankruptcy Code as a Small Business reorganization.

> Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

> > fax: 206.386.5355

Plan & Disclosure 1

1

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

Plan & Disclosure 2 2.8

The Debtor combine this Plan with a Disclosure Statement to provide to all of Debtors' known creditors that information which is deemed by Debtor to be material, important and necessary for their creditors to arrive at a reasonably informed decision in exercising their right to vote on the acceptance of the Plan.

Prior to the solicitation of votes by the Debtor in favor of this Plan, the Disclosure Statement must be preliminarily approved by the Court with the Court hearing the final adequacy of the Statement at the time of confirmation; the Court's approval means that the Court deems the Disclosure Statement contains the necessary information for the creditors of the Debtor to make an informed judgment whether to vote in favor of the Plan, but the Court is not ruling on the merits of the Plan.

The debtor will solicit your vote for acceptance of the Plan. Your acceptance is important: in order for the Plan to be accepted, at least a majority in number of those claims voting in each class, and at least a two-thirds majority in dollar amount of those claims voting in each class, must vote for the Plan. You should return your ballot to the address given thereon by the date given on the ballot. The Order Approving the Disclosure Statement (enclosed) will state the time and place of the hearing on confirmation of the Plan.

NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR (PARTICULARLY AS TO FUTURE BUSINESS OPERATIONS, VALUE OF PROPERTY, OR THE VALUE OF ANY PROMISSORY NOTES TO BE ISSUED UNDER THE PLAN) ARE AUTHORIZED BY THE DEBTOR OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH IN THIS STATEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR INDUCEMENTS MADE TO

> Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

28 Plan & Disclosure 3

SECURE YOUR ACCEPTANCE WHICH ARE OTHER THAN AS CONTAINED IN THIS STATEMENT SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY YOU IN ARRIVING AT YOUR DECISION, AND SUCH ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS AND INDUCEMENTS SHOULD BE REPORTED TO COUNSEL FOR THE DEBTOR WHO IN TURN SHALL DELIVER SUCH INFORMATION TO THE BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR SUCH ACTION AS MAY BE DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN HAS NOT BEEN SUBJECT TO A CERTIFIED AUDIT. THE RECORDS KEPT BY THE DEBTOR ARE DEPENDENT UPON ACCOUNTING PERFORMED BY OTHERS BEYOND THE CONTROL OF THE DEBTOR. FOR THE FOREGOING REASON, AS WELL AS BECAUSE OF THE COMPLEXITY OF THE Debtor' FINANCIAL MATTERS, THE Debtor ARE UNABLE TO WARRANT OR REPRESENT THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS WITHOUT ANY INACCURACY, ALTHOUGH GREAT EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO BE ACCURATE.

BACKGROUND

Mr. Greg Lodwig has been involved with buying and selling lots in Ocean Shores, WA, for over 30 years. Over the last 5 1/2 years Mr. Lodwig has sold 89 lots in Ocean Shores. Mr. Lodwig has always sold lots as "By Owner" and he loves what he does. Starting in February, 2007, Mr. Lodwig and his son Nathan decided to work together. Synergistic Enterprises was incorporated on 2/23/07 to make various purchases in Ocean Shores, all vacant lots, to resell. The company began financing purchases on 12/31/07 and entered into contracts with Mr. Utterback ["Trust Company of America"] to finance 9 separate packages, the last being on 9/19/08. Sustainable Enterprises was formed on 10/27/08 to purchase one package of 15 lots on 12/17/08, also financed by Utterback. There were a total of 10 separate Deeds of Trust of various amounts. There were no new purchases financed after 12/17/08. The total amount loaned on the 10 separate Deeds of Trust was \$1,187,102.45. To date Mr. Utterback has been repaid \$885,140.88 plus additional loan fees of \$97,728.61 for a total of \$982,869.49 over the last 3 years. 86 lots

9

5

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

2425

26

27

2.8

Plan & Disclosure 4

were purchased of which 38 remain unsold, an excellent result. When each of the 48 lots were sold Mr. Utterback would collect a large release clause amount and he would credit whichever Deed of Trust it came out of and provide escrow with a 'Partial Reconveyance of Deed of Trust.'

Things changed in the Debtor's final package purchase on 12/17/08. The Debtor financed 15 lots in that purchase with Mr. Utterback and the Debtor bought two or three lots from the seller with personal funds. At closing, the Debtor noticed that Mr. Utterback had charged his usual 6% loan fee on an additional \$25,000.00 over the \$155,000.00 lent, or \$180,000.00 (see attachment). The Debtor called Mr. Utterback to point this out thinking it might have been an honest mistake. Mr. Utterback said that it wasn't a mistake and that he was angry that the Debtor had bought the other lots with the Debtor's personal funds instead of borrowing from Mr. Utterback for the purchase. The Debtor told Mr. Utterback that what he had done was illegal and unethical; that the Debtor was not under any such an obligation; and, that Mr. Utterback's logic didn't make any sense. Mr. Utterback cursed at the Debtor and hung up. Shortly thereafter, the Debtor met with Mr. Utterback. The Debtor told Mr. Utterback that the Debtor would concentrate on selling lots in the particular Deeds of Trust that were near payoff to free up the remaining lots in those DOT packages. That way the Debtor could make enough profit on the remaining lots free of release clauses to work his way out of the debt relationship with Mr. Utterback. Mr. Utterback agreed with the concept. Afterwards, Mr. Utterback raised his 'Wrap Fee' on the Debtor's contract sales from \$1,000.00 to \$3,000.00 without pre-notice before the next closing. This made it much more difficult to sell the remaining lots on real estate contracts.

Over the next eighteen months, the Debtor was able to sell nineteen lots financed by Mr. Utterback, which allowed the Debtor to pay down his debt with Mr. Utterback considerably. During that period, the Debtor asked Mr. Utterback a number of times to

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

provide the Debtor with an accounting of remaining balances in the different Deeds of Trust so that the Debtor could see where he was at. Mr. Utterback never provided the requested figures. In March of 2010, the escrow company told the Debtor that they received the 'Partial Reconveyance Form' from Mr. Utterback for the sale they were processing. The Debtor was told that he should contact Mr. Utterback to see if the Deed of Trust had been paid off in full and if so the escrow company would change the filing to a 'Full Reconveyance.' This would have released two unsold lots and one lot sold on a contract from that Deed of Trust. When the Debtor contacted Mr. Utterback he said he would check his records and if the DOT was paid in full he would obviously reconvey. Two weeks went by with no response, so the Debtor called again. Mr. Utterback told the Debtor that if Mr. Utterback reconveyed, the Debtor would concentrate on selling the Debtor's freed up lots instead of any remaining that were still under contract. The Debtor response was that Mr. Utterback was right since the Debtor had used up all of his savings, (about \$100,000.00) during the previous year just to get to this point since Mr. Utterback's release clauses were too high. The Debtor said that Mr. Utterback was required by law to reconvey when paid in full. Mr. Utterback said that he would call the Debtor in a couple days. After two weeks of no response, the Debtor was forced to draw up his own accounting which showed that the DOT had been overpaid. Also the Debtor's records were showing that two other DOT had also been paid off in full. The Debtor called Mr. Utterback after he received the Debtor's figures, was told that Mr. Utterback would not reconvey.

The Debtor's response was that if Mr. Utterback would not provide payoff figures or reconveyances when paid in full then the Debtor could no longer keep paying Mr. Utterback until there was a resolution. Mr. Utterback hung up and has been uncooperative in communicating since then. The Debtor has sent letters requesting payoff figures, several settlement offers and has attempted to reason with the three separate attorneys

26

21

22

23

24

2.5

27

2.8

Plan & Disclosure 5

who have come and gone representing Mr. Utterback since then.

Basically, the Debtor has a loan with a lender (Mr. Utterback) which locks its doors when it sees the Debtor coming. The Debtor's real estate attorney set up a deposition to question Mr. Utterback. The Debtor's attorney was contacted shortly before the date of the deposition and was told that Mr. Utterback would be willing to meet with a mediator and try to work out a settlement that would work for both parties if the Debtor would drop the deposition. The Debtor agreed and the date for mediation was set for the first week of October. When the Debtor arrived Mr. Utterback demanded all the remaining properties and contracts, valued at roughly \$1,500,000.00, in order for Mr. Utterback to release the remaining debt that he now said was approximately one-third the value of the assets demanded. The mediator apologized to the Debtor and the Debtor's attorney and that was that. Eventually, Mr. Utterback served the Debtor with a foreclosure notice and the Debtor was forced to file a Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

Mr. Utterback has told the Debtor that he "will not rest until he destroys the Debtor and the Debtor's son." Mr. Utterback has said that it is personal with him. It is obvious that it would be impossible for Mr. Utterback and the Debtor to work together. The Debtor would not have filed for reorganization without first trying to resolve this in every way possible. The Debtor really has tried. Further, the Debtor believes that a property settlement to pay Mr. Utterback in full is the obvious solution. The Debtor has attached records showing sales over the last two years or so in order to show the ratio of sales prices to the assessed value. Presently, the Debtor has two pending sales, one at 82% of the assessed value, the other at 72%. The last lot the Debtor sold was at 69% of assessed value on an all cashout sale. The Debtor badly wants to wash his hands of the ordeal of dealing with Mr Utterback and is sure Mr. Utterback feels the same.

I. DEFINITIONS AS USED IN THIS PLAN

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595 fax: 206.386.5355

Plan & Disclosure 6

3 4 5

2.8

2.8

As used in the Plan and Disclosure Statement the following terms shall have the respective meanings specified below:

- 1.1 <u>Administrative Creditor:</u> Any person entitled to payment of an administrative expense.
- 1.2 <u>Administrative Expense:</u> Any cost or expense of administration of the Chapter 11 case allowed under § 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, and any fees or charges assessed against the estate of the Debtor.
- 1.3 <u>Allowed Claim:</u> Any claim against the Debtor, as of the petition date, proof of which was filed on or before the date designated by the Bankruptcy Court as the last date for filing proofs of claim; or, if no claim is filed, then as listed in the Debtor's schedules if liquidated and undisputed, then the amount listed therein.
- 1.4 <u>Class 1.</u> Cost and expenses of administration as defined in Section 507(a)(1) and (a)(2) of the Bankruptcy code for which application for allowance or a claim is filed as the same are allowed and approved by the court; and post-petition normal payables.
- 1.5 <u>Class 2.</u> Claims entitled to priority by §507(a)(3), §507(a)(4), and §507(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, as the same are allowed and approved by the court. These are employee wage pension, and consumer deposit claims.
- 1.6 <u>Class 3.</u> Claims entitled to priority by §507(a)(8), as same are allowed. These are tax claims.
 - 1.7 <u>Class 4(A) and (B).</u> Secured creditors on real property.
- 1.8 <u>Class 5.</u> Claims of all creditors of the debtor whose claims are not in Classes 1 through 4, in the amount their claims are allowed by the court, including, but not limited to, creditors whose claims may arise out of the rejection of executory contracts, and other general unsecured debts.
- 1.9 <u>Class 6.</u> Class 6 is the debtors, Synergistic Enterprises, Inc. and Sustainable Enterprises, Inc.

- Chapter 11 Case. The case commenced under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and pending in the Bankruptcy Court under the above cause number.
- Claim: Any right to payment from the individual Debtor whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured or unsecured; or any right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right of payment from the Debtor, whether or not such right to an equitable remedy is reduced to judgment, fixed, contingent, matures, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, secured or unsecured.
- 1.13 Confirmation Date: The date upon which the Bankruptcy Court shall enter the order confirming the Plan or as it may be amended.
- 1.14 Confirmation Order: An order of the Bankruptcy Court confirming the Plan in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and which is not then subject to a pending motion to clarify, vacate, rehear, modify or amend.
- Contested Claim: Any claim as to which the Debtor or any party in interest has interposed an objection in accordance with the Plan, the Bankruptcy Code or the Bankruptcy Rules, which objection has not been determined by an order or judgment that is no longer subject to appeal or certiorari proceeding or as to which to appeal or certiorari proceeding is pending.
- Creditor: Any person that has a claim against the Debtor that arose on or before the petition date.
- Effective Date: The Effective Date shall be the first business day which is 1.17 at least twenty (20) days following entry of the Confirmation Order on the docket by the Bankruptcy Court.

II. PAYMENT OF CLAIMS

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

fax: 206.386.5355

Plan & Disclosure 8

3

6

8

10

12

13

18

25

26

27

2.8

4

5

7 8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

2.8

Plan & Disclosure 9

A. CLASS 1: ADMINISTRATIVE POST-CHAPTER 11 EXPENSES. Except for those administrative expenses incurred in the ordinary course of the Debtor's business which have been paid pursuant to their terms and not under this Plan, each allowed administrative expense shall be paid 100% of their claim, including U.S. Trustee's fees, and attorney fees to Vortman and Feinstein. Administrative costs are approximately \$5,000.00. These fees are the responsibility of the Debtor and shall constitute a capital charge against the members of the Debtor if not paid from the sales of the properties of the estate as proposed in the Plan. The Debtor shall be responsible for timely payment of the fees incurred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1930(a)(6); after confirmation, until the case if close, the Debtor shall serve on the UST each month a financial report while the case remains open, which shall include a statement of all disbursements made during the course of the month, whether or not pursuant to the plan.

US Trustee's fees are being paid and shall be timely paid as long as the estate remains open. Any unpaid fees shall be paid in full on the effective date of the Plan.

B. CLASS 2: PRIORITY PRE-PETITION WAGE CLAIMS. The holder of an allowed claim in Class 2, which are priority creditors holding claims for wages, consumer deposits, and certain union/insurance benefits, shall be paid 100% of their allowed claim, in full, ninety days after the effective date of the plan. There are no known Class 2 creditors.

C. CLASS 3. PRE-PETITION TAX CLAIMS. Class 3 creditors are taxing agencies and said priority creditors, if any, shall be paid in full, 100% of their allowed claim, in equal monthly pro-rata payments, commencing on the 15th day of the first full month after the effective day of this Plan, with simple interest on the declining balance

> Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

fixed as of confirmation at the rate of interest set forth under 26 USC §6621 and §6622, from the date of the petition for relief herein, over a period of not to exceed 72 months from when the taxes each first became due. Class 3 claims are not otherwise dischargeable, and the claims herein shall include pre-petition penalties and interest that were accrued prior to the filing of these proceedings, but shall not include any postpetition penalties. These are priority tax claims and are not impaired. If necessary, any remaining balances due at the end of the term herein shall balloon and be paid in full no later than 60 months following the filing of the petitions herein, the last date the claims can be paid under §1129(a)(9)(C). There are real property taxes due, and those will be paid from each sale on a pro-rata basis until paid in full.

CLASS 4: SECURED CREDITORS.

A. Class 4A. Trust Company of America. The Debtor shall transfer the following property to Trust Company of America, in full satisfaction of its debt under Section 506 of the Bankruptcy Code and this Plan. This transfer shall fully satisfy the existing Notes and Deeds held by the Claimant currently on the entire properties owned by the debtor. The Debtor asserts that the value of that section of property is equal, or greater, than the amount owed to Trust Company of America, and the transfer of the properties on the effective date of the Plan shall fully satisfy this claim.

///

///

26

27

28

Plan & Disclosure 10

Plan & Disclosure 11

Following the transfer of the property, Trust Company of America will reconvey the Notes and Deed of Trust currently secured on the balance of the property (as shown in Exhibit A) owned by the estate. The property transferred to Trust Company is:

Property Address	Parcel Number	Assessed Value
1. 804 Anchor Ave	090100408400	\$50,000.00
2. 835 Anchor Ave	090100412000	\$50,000.00
3. 164 S Port Loop SW	090700071700	\$35,000.00
4. 252 Calawah	091700027700	\$35,000.00
5. 132 Calawah	091700030100	\$35,000.00
6. 148 Calawah	091700029700	\$35,000.00
7. 269 Ensign	090300048600	\$30,000.00
8. 165 S Narwhal Loop	090700060300	\$35,000.00
9. 375 Snahapish	091900003900	\$33,000.00
10. 379 Snahapish	091900004000	\$33,000.00
11. 655 Point Brown SW	092100015600	\$30,000.00
12. 870 Mount Olympus	092700048000	\$30,000.00
13. 157 Goldeneye	093101700400	\$27,000.00
14. 163 Point Brown SW	090700056600	\$35,000.00
15. 151 Seagate	092300070100	\$30,000.00
16. 542 Ocean Shores Blvd.	091700010000	\$45,000.00
17. 359 Duck Lake NE	094900206500	\$32,000.00
18. 220 Duck Lake SE	04900302100	\$32,000.00

19. 254 Duck Lake NE	094900500300	\$32,000.00
20. 218 Duck Lake NE	094900500900	\$32,000.00
21. 585 Sunset	095101203100	\$32,000.00
22. 923 Beachcomber	093101702900	\$27,000.00
23. 828 Teal Ct.	092700046500	\$30,000.00
24. 640 Ocean Shores Blvd.	092100004700	\$50,000.00
25. Torrisdale	093100601800	\$27,000.00
26. 105 Sunrise	094900305600	\$48,000.00
27. 256 Clover Creek	090300051400	\$30,000.00
28. 708 Island Circle	092700027800	\$30,000.00
29. 472 Hilo Ct.	094100400400	\$35,000.00

Total Assessed Value \$1,005,000.00

Estimated debt: \$625,000

Percent of assessed value: 62%

CLASS 5: GENERAL CREDITORS:

Class 5 creditors are the general unsecured creditors of the Debtor, including secured claims on property that is not property of the estate, other than insiders except as otherwise provided in this Plan, all Class 5 creditors shall be treated and/or paid a dividend as follows:

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595 fax: 206.386.5355

Plan & Disclosure 12

After the payment of the administrative and priority claims under Class 1 and Class 2, and the secured claims from the sales of the real properties of the estate under Class 4(A) and 4(C), then any remaining funds shall be distributed to this class pro rata. To the extent that the Debtor does not retain any property that has a value in excess of the exemptions allowed by law therein, then the balance of these claims shall be discharged. It is anticipated that this class will be paid in full 100% of their claims, with interest at the federal judgment rate of interest, as of the filing date. Payment to this class shall be secured by a third position deed of trust on the remaining property of the estate.

10

III. VALUATION SUPPORT

12

13

14

15

The Debtor claims that the properties above have a fair market value equal to or greater than the debt owed to the Class4(B) (Trust Company of America) pursuant to the terms of the Promissory Note and interest. To support such a claim, the Debtor offers the following support.

16 17

18

19

20

21

Attached Exhibit B lists all actual sales in Ocean Shores of vacant land sales for the prior two years. The Exhibit lists the Assessed Value [A.V.] of each lot sale, and lists the actual sales price the property was sold for, and then the percentage of the Assessed Value that the sales price represents. The average actual land sales in Ocean Shores was in excess of 71% A.V. to actual sales price. The transfers of property under this Plan compute a value of just 62% A.V. to actual sales price to provide a "cushion" of about

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plan & Disclosure 13

\$100,000+ to the secured creditor.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

2.8

Plan & Disclosure 14

CLASS 6: EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS. The equity security holder(s) of the Debtor, being the various members in the LLC, shall retain their membership interests in the Debtor limited liability LLC as they held prepetition.

IV. <u>LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS</u>

The Debtor have insufficient cash assets to pay the creditors in full. All of the Debtor's assets have been listed on the schedules filed herein, and the monthly reports to the court. There should be sufficient funds available to general creditors at least as much as they would have received in a Chapter 7 liquidation to pay this Class in full, including administrative and priority tax claims. The value of the total of the lots of the estate exceeds the total debt and thus this is a 100% Plan. The Debtor asserts that the plan meets the elements necessary for confirmation under Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

ARTICLE V.

PROVISION FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

5.1 Full Payment: Except for those administrative expenses incurred in the ordinary course of the Debtor's business which have been paid pursuant to their terms and not under this Plan, each allowed administrative expense claim shall be paid in full upon the Effective Date, unless otherwise agreed by the creditor. This class includes any unpaid U.S. Trustee's fees, and any unpaid post-petition tax liabilities incurred post-petition.

ARTICLE VI.

EXECUTORY CONTRACTS

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

28

6.1 Rejection of Executory Contracts: All holders of executory contracts issued by the Debtor shall be assumed [these are purchase agreements for the various lots] unless otherwise specifically accepted in this Plan. All post-petition contracts, including the loan agreements with Inward Bound are accepted as of confirmation; but, however, any pending offers brought to the debtor, prior to confirmation will be honored, and processed through the normal procedures for acceptance, court approval and closing.

ARTICLE VII. PROCEDURE FOR RESOLVING CONTESTED CLAIMS

- 7.1 Time for Objections to Claims: Objections to claims shall be made by the Debtor, or any party in interest, and served upon each holder of such claim to which objections are made and filed with the Bankruptcy Court within 60 days after the entry of the order of confirmation of this plan.
- 7.2 Prosecution of Objections to Claims: The Debtor, and/or the party filing the objection, shall litigate to judgment, settle or withdraw objections to contested claims. This Plan specifically reserves the right of the Debtor, or any party in interest, to object to any claim, whether filed or unfiled ["deemed allowed"], and whether said claim was listed by the Debtor as disputed or undisputed.
- 7.3 Notice of Settlements: The Debtor shall provide notice to all secured creditors and the five largest unsecured creditors measured as of the petition date, of all proposed settlements of objections to claims against the estate involving secured claims, or unsecured

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

7.4 <u>Closing of Settlements</u>: Ten days after notice of any settlement, the Debtor may close such settlement absent timely objection by a party in interest. In the event of objection, the debtor shall obtain a hearing on notice to the objecting part(ies).

ARTICLE VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 8.1 <u>Termination of the Plan</u>: The Plan shall terminate and otherwise cease to be of any force and effect upon satisfaction of all terms hereof.
- 8.2 <u>Modification of the Plan</u>: The Plan proponent may propose amendments or modifications to the Plan at any time prior to confirmation. After confirmation, the Debtor may, with leave of court, and so long as it does not materially or adversely affect the interests of creditors or other parties in interest, remedy any defect or omission, or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan, in the order confirming the Plan, in such manner as may be necessary to carry out the purposes, intent and effect of the Plan.
- 8.3 <u>Automatic Stay</u>: The automatic stay provided by 11 U.S.C. § 362 shall remain in full force and effect until the effective date of the plan, at which time all property of the estate is transferred to the Debtor and the Debtor is discharged herein, and the stay is released under Sections 362(c)(1) and (c)(2)(c).
- 8.4 Substantive Consolidation: Upon confirmation of the Plan, the two corporate entities shall be substantively consolidated, and shall operate post-confirmation

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

fax: 206.386.5355

28 Plan & Disclosure 16

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Plan & Disclosure 17 2.8

IX. PROVISIONS FOR EXECUTION OF PLAN

- 9.1 Disbursement of Funds: Upon the Effective Date, the Debtor shall distribute in cash the payments provided for herein to the extent of cash collected. The Plan will be funded from the ongoing operations of the Debtor, and cash flow as set forth in the attached projections. All distributions on account of priority taxes or general unsecured claims shall only be made either upon entry of a court order, or lack of objection from any party in interest, following written notice to all unsecured creditors and at least 10 calendar days' opportunity to object, which notice shall describe with reasonable specificity, the source and amount of funds available, and the specific claims and amounts upon which the disbursement will be made.
- 9.2 Management. Gregory Lodwig shall act as may be reasonably necessary or appropriate on behalf of the Debtor to effect consummation of the Plan.

ARTICLE X. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this Chapter 11 case pursuant to provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, until the proceedings are closed, and, specifically, shall retain jurisdiction to hear or determine the following matters:

> Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

27

28

1. To enable the Debtor to consummate any and all proceedings which may be brought prior to or subsequent to the Order of Confirmation, to avoid or set aside liens or encumbrances, to object to claims or to the allowance thereof, to recover any preferences, transfers, assets (including accounts) or damages as entitled under the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code or other federal, state, or local law, and to continue all related contested matters or adversary proceedings pending on the confirmation date or filed in the Court thereafter.

- 2. To classify, allow or disallow claims and direct distribution of funds under the Plan and to adjudicate all controversies concerning the classification, treatment or allowance of any claim.
- 3. To enforce performance of the Plan, including without limitation, execution and delivery by the debtor or reorganized debtor, of such documents as are required by this Plan.
- 4. To hear or determine all claims arising from the rejection of executory contracts, including leases, and to consummate the rejection and termination thereof.
- 5. To liquidate damages in connection with any disputed, contingent or unliquidated claim.
 - 6. To recover all assets and properties of the estate wherever located.
 - 7. To hear and determine matters concerning state, local and federal taxes.
 - 8. To hear and determine such other matters and make such Orders as are necessary

and appropriate to carry out the provisions of the Plan, including without limitation, motions to sell property and any controversies relating to disbursements under this Plan.

9. The plan shall be substantially consummated upon the distributions and delivery of documents to be made on the effective date of the plan. Notwithstanding substantial consummation, the case shall remain open pending the earlier of (a) sale of all the debtor's property, or (b) the satisfaction of all allowed secured claims.

ARTICLE XI TRANSFER OF TITLE AND ADMINISTRATION AND TAXES

Notwithstanding the retention of jurisdiction by the court as provided in Paragraph XII above, upon confirmation of the Plan by the court, title to the West Property shall be conveyed, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, to GDR. All remaining of the estate, all causes in action, and all rights of the Debtor-in-possession, shall vest in the Debtor.

Confirmation of the plan shall discharge the Debtor from any debt that arose before the date of confirmation, as provided in Section 1141(d)(1); except that debts not otherwise discharged, such as priority tax debt under Class 3, shall be discharged upon consummation of the Plan.

The debtor will use its basis in the property, including improvement costs, towards

the sales of the properties herein in sequential order, thus using its full basis on all of the property development towards each lot sale in order; such that it is anticipated that there will be no capital gains realized or taxes due on the first eight or nine sales, leaving only the last one or two lots of the debtor with no basis, and thus those sales would be charged with any capital gains, if any, which shall be payable by the debtor from unencumbered funds.

ARTICLE XII <u>ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE</u> TO ALL CLASSES CREATED UNDER THIS PLAN OF ARRANGEMENT

- 12.1 The payments, distributions and other treatments provided in respect of each allowed claim and allowed interest under this Plan of Arrangement shall be in full and complete satisfaction, discharge and release of such allowed claims and allowed interest, except insofar as may be expressly provided otherwise under any applicable terms of this Plan, and Debtor shall be discharged of any portion of any allowed claim or interest not provided to be paid under this Plan. Non-dischargeable priority tax obligations shall not be discharged until paid in full under the terms of this Plan.
- 12.2 Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Plan providing for the timing of payment or distribution of any consideration hereunder, payments and distributions in respect of any claim or interest which at such date or time is disputed, unliquidated, or contingent, other than secured claims, shall not be made until such claim or interest

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595 fax: 206.386.5355

Plan & Disclosure 20

becomes an allowed claim or allowed interest, whereupon such payments and distributions shall be made promptly together with any interest provided to be paid on such claim under the terms of this Plan.

ARTICLE XIII. MISCELLANEOUS HEADINGS

The headings of this Plan are for convenience of reference only and shall not limit or otherwise effect the meaning thereof.

ARTICLE XIV. NOTICES

All notices required or permitted to be made in accordance with the Plan shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally or by telex or other telegraphic means or mailed by first class or certified mail, return receipt requested:

- (a) If to the proponent of the Plan then to Larry B. Feinstein, Vortman & Feinstein,500 Union Street, Suite 500, Seattle, Washington, 98101.
- (b) If to a holder of an allowed claim or allowed interest, at the address set forth in its allowed proof of claim or proof of interest, or, if none, at its address set forth in the schedule prepared and filed with the court pursuant to the Rule 1007 (b).
- (c) Notice shall be deemed given three days after mailing or transmitted. Any person may change the address at which it is to receive notices under the Plan by sending written notice pursuant to the provisions of this section to the persons being charged with the knowledge of such change.

Vortman & Feinstein 500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101 206.223.9595

2

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

2.8

ARTICLE XV. ARTICLE REFERENCES

Unless otherwise specified, all references in the Plan to Sections and Articles are to sections and articles of the Plan.

ARTICLE XVI. RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS

Neither the filing of this Plan nor any statement or provisions contained herein or in the accompanying Disclosure Statement, as may be amended from time to time, nor the taking by any creditors or any action with respect to the Plan shall:

- Be or be deemed to be an admission against interest, and (a)
- (b) Until the date of distribution of each creditor's entitlement under this Plan or Arrangement, be or deemed to be a waiver of any rights which any creditor might have against the Debtor or any of the Debtor's properties or any other creditor of the Debtor, or a waiver of any rights which the Debtor may have against any creditor or party in interest, and until such time as creditors claims are actually paid in accordance with this Plan or Arrangement, such rights are specifically reserved.

ARTICLE XVII. DEFAULT

In the event of default, the creditor(s) to whom said default has occurred shall give the Debtor 30 days notice of default and opportunity to cure. If said default is not cured within said period, the creditor shall be entitled to enforce such creditor's entitlement under this

Plan in any court of competent jurisdiction. Further, the holder of an allowed claim pursuant to Section 507(a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code shall be entitled to automatically resume collection activities against the Debtor, without first obtaining this court's approval, if the Debtor fails to cure any default in repayment under the confirmed plan within thirty (30) days of receiving written notice of default from such holder. Secured creditors whose loans are restructured under this Plan shall treat said loans as current and treat said obligations as an ongoing amortizing obligation. In the event of default to a secured creditor, the creditor occasioning said default shall give the Debtor 30 days notice of default and opportunity to cure; if said default is not cured within said period, the creditor shall be entitled to relief from the stay under this Plan, and may enforce any state law foreclosure or collection rights that may exist in the security documents between the parties. There are no known adverse tax consequences as a result of this Plan.

XVIII. CONCLUSION

The Debtor thus requests the creditors to accept its Plan and Reorganization effort.

DATED this 20 day of December, 2010.

1		
2	/s/ Larry B. Feinstein	
3		
4	Larry B. Feinstein #6074 Attorney for Debtor-In-Possession	
5		
6	/s/ Gregory Lodwig	
7	Gregory Lodwig, President	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		Vortman & Feinstein
27		500 Union Street, Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98101
28	Plan & Disclosure 24	206.223.9595 fax: 206.386.5355

1 BRIAN L. BUDSBERG, WSBA#11225 Budsberg Law Group, PLLC 2 P.O. Box 1489 Olympia, WA 98507-1489 3 Telephone: (360) 584-9093 Facsimile: (360) 252-8333 4 Attorney for Creditor 5 6 7 8 9 In re 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JUDGE KAREN OVERSTREET Location Seattle Chapter 11

Hearing Date: February 18, 2011

Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

Response Date: February 11, 2011

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

SYNERGISTIC ENTERPRISES, INC. SUSTAINABLE ENTERPRISES, LLC. No. 10-23256

No. 10-23257

Debtors.

CREDITOR CHARLES UTTERBACK AND TRUST COMPANY OF AMERICA'S AMENDMENT TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION

COMES NOW, Creditor Charles Utterback and Trust Company of America ("TCA"), by and through his attorney of record, Brian L. Budsberg, and amends the combined Plan and Disclosure Statement proposed by Synergistic Enterprises, Inc. and Sustainable Enterprises, LLC (herein "Debtor") pursuant to LR 3020-1(b) and having previously objected to the Plan and Disclosure Statement hereby proposes the following amendment to the combined Plan and Disclosure Statement. The purpose is to provide an alternate statement to that of the Debtor.

TCA disagrees with the arguments of the Debtor. TCA believes Debtor has misstated and mischaracterized the fiduciary relationship of Creditor and Debtor. Therefore, TCA asserts as follows:

BACKGROUND

Nathaniel Lodwig is president of Synergistic Enterprises, Inc., and a member of Sustainable Enterprises, LLC. In September 2009, Charles Utterback filed an action in state court for judicial foreclosure on some deeds of trust that secured notes between TCA and Debtors. Both Synergistic Enterprises, Inc. and Sustainable Enterprises, LLC are owned and/or controlled by Nathaniel Lodwig and Gregory Lodwig. Both entities are in default on loans made by TCA and both are now seeking bankruptcy protection. Debtors and TCA have had multiple loans and an ongoing financial business relationship.

In September, 2008, Debtor and Nathaniel Lodwig executed a promissory note secured by a deed of trust in favor of Trust Company of America C/F Charles Utterback, #81028, for \$250,000 (Note #1).

In December, 2008, the parties executed a promissory note secured by a deed of trust for \$155,000 (Note #2).

In October, 2008, Debtor and TCA executed a promissory note secured by a deed of trust for \$500,000 (Note #3). Note #3 is secured by eight deeds of trust that had secured previous notes. Note #3 consolidated eight prior notes and deeds of trust for the convenience of Debtor.

Debtor filed for bankruptcy on November 2nd, 2010. On November 8th, 2010, the Grays Harbor County Superior Court granted partial summary judgment against Nathaniel Lodwig and Gregory Lodwig, who were co-makers on the notes but did not personally file for bankruptcy protection. The court entered three judgments: 1)Note #1, against Nathaniel Lodwig, for \$195,563.12, with interest at 12% per annum from the date of judgment; 2)Note #2, against Nathaniel Lodwig and Gregory Lodwig, jointly and severally for \$129,486.78 with interest at 12% per annum from the date of judgment; and, 3)Note #3, against Nathaniel Lodwig and

Gregory Lodwig, jointly and severally, for \$298,966.41 with interest at 12% per annum from the date of judgment. (Total amount = \$624,016.31).

TCA has taken no further action against Synergistic or Sustainable, and has not pursued foreclosure because of the stay imposed by the bankruptcy court.

TCA CONTENDS THEREFORE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. TCA asserts that the Debtor is mischaracterizing the debtor/creditor relationship between the parties in this case.
- 2. TCA asserts that the Debtor has not fairly and properly allocated or computed all of the debt owed.
- 3. TCA asserts that the creditor/debtor relationship has been conclusively established during the Grays Harbor County Superior Court summary judgment proceedings. The arguments that were made during the summary judgment motion on behalf of the Lodwigs as individuals are the same as the arguments that could be made on behalf of the corporations Synergistic and Sustainable Enterprises. At the state court level, the co-maker on the notes did not defend, and allowed the entry of a judgment which TCA asserts establishes the debt relationship between the parties. Every argument that the Debtor alludes to as inappropriate in the context of the debt relationship could have been raised by the co-makers on the notes in the Grays Harbor County case. Even if the Court does not consider the Debtor to be estopped from raising new arguments in the bankruptcy proceeding, the state court action established the debtor/creditor relationship between the parties.
- 4. TCA asserts that under the proposed plan, it will not receive fair value from the properties listed by Debtor. There is not enough equity in the property to cover the amount of

debt owed. TCA asserts that his secured claims exceed the value of the properties by over \$175,000.

In the context of the bankruptcy code, equity means "the difference between the property value and the total amount of liens against it." *Stewart v. Gurley*, 745 F.2d 1194, 1195 (9th Cir. 1984). The balance due to TCA on Note #1 and Note #3 as of October, 2010 totaled \$491,682.17. In addition to the loans, there are property taxes, LID's, association dues and assessments that constitute liens on the properties totaling \$114,451.92.

The total amount of the liens against the properties is \$606,134.09. According to recent appraisals obtained by TCA, the Properties are worth between \$350,000.00 and \$371,500.00.

According to the Debtor, the lots listed in the plan, which includes several of the lower value lots, amount to \$623,100(62% of assessed value of \$1,005,000). Even if the Property is worth this amount, there is still no equity. The Court deducts the cost of sale in determining equity. Costs of sale of these properties are expected to be about 15% of sale price. In addition, under Section 506(b), if TCA were over secured, it would be entitled to post petition interest, and post petition attorney's fees and costs. If there is any equity in the properties, that equity will be wiped out by closing costs and post petition interest, fees and costs. There is no equity in the properties.

5. TCA asserts that the Court should not to adopt the debtors' formula for valuation of the property and asks that the Court consider the figures from the real estate experts, Jim Donahoe, and Jeff Daniel.

Without considering various LID assessments and Homeowners Association assessments outstanding against several of the properties, the total estimated market value of the properties

securing Note #1 is \$166,400. The total estimated market value of the properties securing Note #2 is \$111,800, and for Note #3, \$205,100.

Considering expected sales costs of about 15%, the total value to TCA of all the property is approximately \$410,805. Debtor has listed 29 properties in the plan. The notes secure approximately 40 properties, many of which have higher values than the lots proposed to be given over by Debtor for satisfaction of the approximately \$625,000 owed.

6. TCA asserts that it is fully secured in all of the property of the estate and the contracts on those properties, and Debtor is not entitled to give back some of the properties and keep the rest. The debtor's strategy is to keep the properties that are free of liens, and properties that have income in the form of contracts, and give over to the estate the underwater properties.

The estate's 40 properties are listed in the table below, together with market values estimated by Jeff Daniel. The properties are all undeveloped parcels in Ocean Shores.

Common Address	Parcel Number	90 day RE list price
Synergistic Re-Fi (Note #3)		Nov 2010
542 O/S Blvd SW	091700010000	\$19,900.00
844 Pt Brown Ave	092500001200	35,000.00
473 Hilo Ct	094100400400	4,000.00
640 O/S Blvd SW	092100004700	19,900.00
958 Wawona Ave	094300203500	20,000.00
164 S Port Lp SW	090700071700	12,500.00
252 Calawah St	091700027700	10,000.00
132 Calawah St	091700030100	10,000.00
151 Seagate St	092300070100	11,500.00
804 Anchor Ave	090100408400	10,000.00
269 Ensign Ave NW	090300048600	11,500.00
165 S Narwhal Lp SW	090700060300	12,900.00
213 N Wynoochee Dr SW	092100012800	12,900.00
1428 Sand Pebble Ave	094101003600	15,000.00
TOTAL		\$205,100.00
Synergistic (1	Note #1)	

163 Pt Brown Ave SW	090700056600	\$9,500.00
148 Calawah St SW	091700029700	10,000.00
655 Pt Brown Ave SW	092100015600	9,500.00
760 Tern Ct SE	092700044200	10,000.00
870 Mt Olympus Ave SE	092700048000	10,000.00
Torrisdale Ave SW	093100601800	12,900.00
923 Beachcomber Ct	093101702900	11,500.00
950 Wawona Ave SE	094300101800	12,000.00
218 Duck Lake Dr NE	094900500900	11,000.00
254 Duck Lake Dr NE	094900500300	11,000.00
359 Duck Lake Dr NE	094900206500	11,000.00
633 Duck Lake Dr NE	091100011700	11,000.00
220 Duck Lake Dr SE	094900302100	11,000.00
105 Sunrise Ave SE	094900305600	17,000.00
585 Sunset Ave NE	095101203100	9,000.00
TOTAL		\$166,400.00
Sustainable (Note #2)	
157 Goldeneye	093101700400	\$9,500.00
835 Anchor Ave	090100412000	9,500.00
256 Clover Creek Ave NW	090300051400	11,500.00
419 S Portal Lp	094100900300	8,000.00
375 Snahapish Ave SE	091900003900	10,000.00
379 Snahapish Ave SE	091900004000	10,000.00
1435 Kailua Ct	094100903300	4,000.00
708 Island Cr SE	092700027800	12,900.00
828 Teal Ct SE	092700046500	11,500.00
30 Bayview Ln	707000200900	19,900.00
Bayview Estates	707000301000	5,000.00
TOTAL		\$111,800.00
GRAND TOTAL		\$483,300.00

Parcel Number

7. TCA asserts that even if the estate retains all of the properties, and contracts on the properties, the value will still be well below the \$625,000 debt.

Common Address

90 day RE list price

In addition to the unsold properties, there are notes and/or contracts sold to third parties subject to TCA's deeds of trust that should also be assets of the bankruptcy estate. From time to time, TCA released certain properties as partial reconveyances according to the terms of the deeds of trust securing the notes. TCA allowed four properties to be sold to third parties subject to the deed of trust on Note #1, one property on Note #2, and six on Note #3. Synergistic financed the purchases. The notes and/or contracts on these sales should be considered assets of the bankruptcy estate.

CONCLUSION

TCA's secured claims against Synergistic Enterprises, Inc. (Note #1 and Note #3) exceed the value of the properties that secure those claims by over \$176,000. TCA's secured claim against Sustainable Enterprises, LLC (Note #2) exceeds the value of the properties that secure it by over \$33,000.

The proposed plan does not provide for a fair valuation of the estate. Even according to the Debtor's schedules, there is no equity in the estate after deduction of closing costs, and post petition interest and attorney's fees and costs. The properties are more than \$250,000 underwater according to the opinions of Daniels and Donahoe. The properties do not produce the cash necessary to fund a plan and pay creditors. The Debtor does not have the capital or income necessary to sustain the proposed plan.

At its core, Debtors' plan seeks to return property in exchange for debt, allows the Debtor to keep some of the lots that are generating income from outstanding contracts, and free them of any interest or obligations to TCA. This Plan is not confirmable under 11 USC §1129

/s/ Brian L. Budsberg

BRIAN L. BUDSBERG, WSBA#11225 ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR